## 5.16 Recreation

1 2

### 3 5.16.1 Environmental Setting

4 5 The northwestern portion of the proposed project area would run adjacent to multiple recreation areas, including open space preserves that form a near-contiguous natural area, namely the Clear Creek Greenway, 6 Horsetown Clear-Creek Preserve, Whiskeytown National Recreation Area, Mule Ridge Trails, Swasey 7 8 Recreation Area, Cloverdale Trails, and Clear Creek Gorge Overlook. The Clear Creek Greenway, Swasey 9 Recreational Area and Mule Ridge Trails trail map, produced by the Bureau of Land Management, Redding Field Office, depicts the orientation of these near-contiguous natural areas near the proposed project area 10 11 (BLM n.d.). Portions of the Clear Creek Greenway, Horsetown Clear-Creek preserve, Mule Ridge Trails, and Cloverdale Trails are adjacent to, or 1 mile or less from the proposed project alignment. Whiskeytown 12 National Recreation Area and Swasey Recreation Area are both located approximately 3 miles from the 13 14 proposed project alignment. 15 16 Recreational activities at the Clear Creek Greenway, Swasey Recreation Area, Horsetown Clear-Creek

17 Preserve, Cloverdale Trails, and Mule Ridge Trails include hiking, walking, bicycling, horseback riding, and

18 other passive outdoor activities. The Cloverdale Trailhead, situated along the proposed project alignment on

19 Cloverdale Road, is a staging area that provides access for recreationists to the Clear Creek Greenway.

20 Hunting is prohibited at the Horsetown Clear-Creek Preserve, but is permitted in designated areas

21 throughout the Clear Creek Greenway, Mule Ridge Trails, and Swasey Recreation Area. Recreational

22 activities at the Whiskeytown National Recreation Area include hiking, boating, hunting within designated

areas, camping, and other passive recreation activities (NPS 2017, 2018). The recreation areas are listed in

Table 5.16-1, along with their respective managing agencies and their approximate distance from the closest

25 point of the proposed project.26

	Approximate Distance from Project
Managing Agency	(Closest Point)
Bureau of Land Management	Adjacent
Horsetown Clear-Creek Preserve (private, nonprofit)	0.5 mile
National Park Service	3.3 miles
Bureau of Land Management	1.0 mile
California Department of Fish and Game	0.85 mile
Bureau of Land Management	2.75 miles
Bureau of Land Management	Adjacent
	Bureau of Land Management     Horsetown Clear-Creek Preserve (private, nonprofit)     National Park Service     Bureau of Land Management     California Department of Fish and Game     Bureau of Land Management

#### Table 5.16-1 Recreational Areas Near the Proposed Project Route

Source: BLM n.d.

# 2728 5.16.2 Regulatory Setting

29

#### 30 Federal

31 There are no federal regulations applicable to the proposed project area with respect to recreation.

## 3233 State

34 There are no state regulations applicable to the proposed project area with respect to recreation.

35

#### 1 Local

Shasta County General Plan. The Shasta County General Plan contains multiple objectives pertaining to recreational land and facilities. The proposed project would not conflict with any of the policies described in the general plan. (Shasta County 2004)

6 Shasta County Parks, Trails, and Open Space Plan. The 2009 Shasta County Parks, Trails, and Open

Space Plan provides an update to the Shasta County General Plan, with a focus on identifying the issues and
opportunities for improving the provision of parks, trails, and open space in Shasta County. The plan

opportunities for improving the provision of parks, trails, and open space in Shasta County. The plan
recommends policies to maximize the environmental sustainability, economic vitality, and community health

through expansion and improvement of parks, trails, and open spaces, such as requiring setbacks or buffers

11 to protect sensitive lands from development. The proposed project would not conflict with any measures

12 described in the Shasta County Parks, Trails, and Open Space Plan (Shasta County 2009).

12

15

5

#### 14 **5.16.4 Environmental Impacts and Assessment**

16 The impact analysis below identifies and describes the proposed project's potential impacts on recreation 17 within the proposed project area. Potential impacts were evaluated according to significance criteria based

on the checklist items presented in Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines and listed at the start of each

19 impact analysis section below. Both the construction and maintenance/operations phases were considered;

however, because the construction phase could result in physical changes to the environment, analysis of

20 nowever, because the construction phase could result in physical changes to the environment, analysis of 21 construction phase effects warranted a more detailed evaluation. The proposed project would not include

recreation facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreation facilities. There would be no

23 impact under criterion (b), and a detailed discussion is therefore not provided.

24

#### 25 Applicant Proposed Measures

The applicant has not incorporated APMs to specifically minimize or avoid impacts on recreation. A list of all project APMs is included in Table 4-2 in Chapter 4.

28

#### 29 Significance Criteria

30 Table 5.16-2 describes the significance criteria from Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines' recreation

- 31 section, which the CPUC used to evaluate the environmental impacts of the proposed project.
- 32

Wo	ould the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Significant	No Impact
а.	Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?			$\boxtimes$	
b.	Include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				$\square$

33

#### a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?

3 4 Construction crews are expected to be composed of a maximum of 22 workers on site at any given time. 5 Crews would be hired locally, so there would be no influx of large groups of workers from outside of the 6 region. Construction work on the project is anticipated to be conducted in 10-hour work days, Monday 7 through Friday. Because construction crews would only temporarily occupy each segment of the proposed 8 project area before moving to install additional segments, no single recreation area is expected to experience 9 prolonged increased usage by construction crews. Because population growth during construction would not 10 be substantial, the project would not increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of facilities would occur or be accelerated. 11 Once installed, the fiber optic system would require minimal maintenance. Any required maintenance 12 13 activities would be temporary and would not require access to parks or recreational facilities. Therefore, 14 project impacts associated with construction activities and operation and maintenance would be less than 15 significant with regards to recreation. 16

- 17 Significance: Less than significant.
- 18

#### 19 Mitigation Measures

20 Because all impacts on recreation for the proposed project would be less than significant or no impact, no

- 21 mitigation measures are required.
- 22

This page intentionally left blank